

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/720,771	11/24/2003	Alan Rezach	4002-3317	4899	
Woodard Emb	7590 03/28/2007 ardt Moriarty McNett & F	EXAMINER			
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP Bank One Center/Tower Suite 3700 111 Monument Circle			PELLEGRINO, BRIAN E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Indianapolis, I	0	3738			
			MAII DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		MAIL DATE 03/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/720,771	REZACH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Brian E. Pellegrino	3738	

Delote the filling of all Appear Difer	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
. Mathematical The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		1 E below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 	ow), etter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for						
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally re	iacted claims							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jecteu ciaimis.	i						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).									
		Amphant Amendment	(1 101-02-7).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>45-83</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the									
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable il subl'inted ill a separate,	unlery med amendine	The carrocally the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>34-38</u> .									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,15,16 and 18-33</u> .	44								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-14,17 and 39</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENGE	-44 .		•						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavition other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ils to provide a (1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the consideration of the	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.						
 The request for reconsideration has been considered b <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		in condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									

Continuation of 3. NOTE: New claims 84-89 require new consideration since they correspond to proposed canceled claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: claims 84-89 are not allowable and are of similar scope to proposed canceled claims that were finally rejected.

BRIAN E. PELLEGRINO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bran E Pellegrin